arXiv:0908.1714v2 [math.DG] 14 Apr 2010

Extrinsic curvatures of distributions of arbitrary codimension

Krzysztof Andrzejewski and Paweł G. Walczak

August 10, 2009

Abstract

In this article, using the generalized Newton transformations, we define higher order mean curvatures of distributions of arbitrary codimension and we show that they coincide with the ones from Brito and Naveira (Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **18**, 371–383 (2000)). We also introduce higher order mean curvature vector fields and we compute their divergence for certain distributions and using this we obtain total extrinsic mean curvatures.

AMS classification: 53C12, 53C15

Keywords: distributions, foliations, rth mean curvature, Newton transformation

1 Introduction

Using some special forms Γ_r Brito and Naveira [7] defined higher order extrinsic curvatures of distributions and they computed the total rth mean curvature S_r^T of certain distributions on closed spaces of constant curvature. They generalize the ones for foliations [3, 6, 11, 12, 15].

On the other hand, many authors (see, among the others, [2, 4, 9, 10, 12]) have recently investigated higher order mean curvatures and higher order mean curvature vector fields of hypersurfaces using the Newton transformations of the second fundamental form. Especially, the papers [9, 10] are devoted to submanifolds of codimension greater than one. In this paper we show that these methods can be also applied successfully for distributions of arbitrary codimension. Namely, using the generalized Newton transformation T_r we define rth mean curvature S_r and $(r+1)$th mean curvature vector field $\boldsymbol{S}_r$ of a distribution D. We show that they agree with the ones from [7] (Theorem 3.1). Since most of the interesting and useful integral formulae in Riemannian geometry are obtained by computing the divergence of certain vector fields and applying the divergence theorem, we compute the divergence of $(r+1)$th mean curvature vector field of a distribution which is orthogonal to a totally geodesic foliation in a manifold of constant sectional curvature (Theorem 3.7). Using this quantity we obtain a recurrence formula for the total mean curvatures (Corollary 3.8) and consequently we get another proof of the main theorem from [7] (Theorem 3.2).

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides some preliminaries. The main results of the paper are contained in Section 3. Throughout the paper everything (manifolds, distribution, metrics and etc.) is assumed to be C^∞-differentiable and oriented and we usually work with S_r instead of its normalized counterpart H_r.

2 Preliminaries

Let M be a m-dimensional oriented, connected Riemannian manifold. On M we consider a distribution D, $n = \dim D$ and a distribution F which is the orthogonal complement of D, $l = \dim F = m - n$. We assume that both are orientable and transversally orientable. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ represent a metric on M and ∇ denote the Levi-Civita connection of the metric. Let $\Gamma(D)$ denote the set of all vector fields tangent to D. If v is a vector tangent to M, then we write

$$v = v^\top + v^\perp,$$

where $v^\top$ is tangent to D and $v^\perp$ to F. Define the second fundamental form B of the distribution D, by

$$B(X, Y) = (\nabla_Y X)^\perp,$$

where X, Y are vector fields tangent to D. The second fundamental form of F is defined similarly.

Throughout this paper we will use the following index convention: $1 \leq i, j, \ldots \leq n, \quad n+1 \leq \alpha, \beta, \ldots \leq m$, and $1 \leq A, B, \ldots \leq m$. Repeated indices denote summation over their range. Let us take a local orthonormal frame $\{e_A\}$ adapted to D, F, i.e., $\{e_i\}$ are tangent to D and $\{e_\alpha\}$ are tangent to F. Moreover, the frames $\{e_A\}$,$\{e_i\}$ and $\{e_\alpha\}$ are compatible with the orientation of M, D and F, respectively. Let $\{\theta^i\}$ and $\{\theta^\alpha\}$ be their dual frame and $\omega^{AB}(e_C) = -\langle \nabla_{e_C} e_A, e_B \rangle$

Define the second fundamental form (or the shape operator) A^α of D with respect to e_α, by

$$A^\alpha(X) = -(\nabla_X e_\alpha)^\top,$$

for X tangent to D. Then, using the notation

$$A^{\alpha} e_i = A^{\alpha}{}^{j}_{i} e_j \quad \text{and} \quad B^{i}_{j} = B(e_i, e_j),$$

we have

$$B^{i}_{j} = A^{\alpha}{}^{i}_{j} e_{\alpha}.$$

Note that, matrices $A^{\alpha}{}^{i}_{j}$ and B^{i}_{j} are not symmetric with respect to i, j if D is not integrable. In spite of this, for even $r \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we can define rth mean curvature S_r of the distribution D by

$$S_r = \frac{1}{r!} \delta^{i_1 \dots i_r}_{j_1 \dots j_r} \langle B^{j_1}_{i_1}, B^{j_2}_{i_2} \rangle \cdots \langle B^{j_{r-1}}_{i_{r-1}}, B^{j_r}_{i_r} \rangle,$$

where the generalized Kronecker symbol $\delta^{i_1 \dots i_r}_{j_1 \dots j_r}$ is $+1$ or -1 according as the i's are distinct and the j's are either even or odd permutation of the i's, and is 0 in all other cases. By convention, we put $S_0 = 1$ and $S_{n+1} = 0$.

Moreover, for even $r \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ we define $(r+1)$th mean curvature vector field $\boldsymbol{S}_{r+1}$ of D by

$$\boldsymbol{S}_{r+1} = \frac{1}{(r+1)!} \delta^{i_1 \dots i_{r+1}}_{j_1 \dots j_{r+1}} \langle B^{j_1}_{i_1}, B^{j_2}_{i_2} \rangle \cdots \langle B^{j_{r-1}}_{i_{r-1}}, B^{j_r}_{i_r} \rangle B^{j_{r+1}}_{i_{r+1}}.$$

We put $\boldsymbol{S}_{n+1} = 0$. If D is of codimension one, then $\boldsymbol{S}_{r+1} = S_{r+1} N$ where N is a unit vector field orthogonal to D, see [1]. The normalized rth mean curvature H_r of a distribution D is defined by

$$H_r = S_r \binom{n}{r}^{-1}.$$

Obviously, the functions S_r (H_r respectively) are smooth on the whole M. If the distribution D is integrable, then for any point $p \in M$, $S_r(p)$ coincides with the rth mean curvature at p of the leaf L of foliations which passes through p [2, 9] .

Now, we introduce the operators $T_r : \Gamma(D) \to \Gamma(D)$ which generalizes the Newton transformations of the shape operator for hypersurfaces and foliations (see, among the others, [1, 4, 9, 10, 12]).

For even $r \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we set

$$T_r{}^{i}_{j} = \frac{1}{r!} \delta^{i_1 \dots i_r i}_{j_1 \dots j_r j} \langle B^{j_1}_{i_1}, B^{j_2}_{i_2} \rangle \cdots \langle B^{j_{r-1}}_{i_{r-1}}, B^{j_r}_{i_r} \rangle,$$

and by convention $T_0 = I$. Note that $T_n = 0$. We also set for a fixed index α

$$T^{\alpha}_{r-1}{}^{i}_{j} = \frac{1}{(r-1)!} \delta^{i_1 \dots i_{r-1} i}_{j_1 \dots j_{r-1} j} \langle B^{j_1}_{i_1}, B^{j_2}_{i_2} \rangle \cdots \langle B^{j_{r-3}}_{i_{r-3}}, B^{j_{r-2}}_{i_{r-2}} \rangle A^{\alpha}{}^{j_{r-1}}_{i_{r-1}}.$$

In the following lemma, we provide some relations between the rth mean curvature (vector field) and the operator T_r.

Lemma 2.1 *For any even integer* $r \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ *we have*

$$\begin{aligned} S_r &= \frac{1}{r}\operatorname{Tr}(T^\alpha_{r-1}A^\alpha), \\ \boldsymbol{S}_{r+1} &= \frac{1}{r+1}\operatorname{Tr}(T_r A^\alpha)e_\alpha, \\ \operatorname{Tr}(T_r) &= (n-r)S_r, \\ T_r &= S_r I - A^\alpha T^\alpha_{r-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and when r *is odd, for each* α, *we have*

$$tr(T^\alpha_r) = \frac{n-r}{r}\operatorname{Tr}(T_{r-1}A^\alpha),$$

where $\operatorname{Tr} = \operatorname{Tr}_D = (\cdot)^i_i$.

Proof. The proof of lemma is quite similar to the one for submanifolds [9, 10], we must only be more careful because B^j_i need not be a symmetric matrix.□

On the other hand, Brito and Naveira [7] have introduced n-forms Γ_r for even $r = 2s$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_r = \sum_{\sigma\in\Sigma_n} \varepsilon(\sigma)(\omega^{\sigma(1)\beta_1} \wedge \omega^{\sigma(2)\beta_1}) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\omega^{\sigma(2s-1)\beta_s} \wedge \omega^{\sigma(2s)\beta_s})\wedge \\ \wedge\, \theta^{\sigma(2s+1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta^{\sigma(n)}, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where Σ_n is the group of permutations of the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, $\varepsilon(\sigma)$ stands for the sign of the permutation σ. Furthermore, they define the total rth extrinsic mean curvature S^T_r of a distribution D on a compact manifold M as

$$S^T_r = \frac{1}{r!(n-r)!}\int_M \Gamma_r \wedge \nu,$$

where $\nu = \theta^{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta^m$. This suggests that we should have

$$\frac{1}{r!(n-r)!}\Gamma_r \wedge \nu = S_r\Omega,$$

where Ω is volume element of $(M, \langle,\rangle)$. We will show this equality in the next section.

3 Main results

Using definitions and notations as in Preliminaries, we obtain the following theorem which states that, S^T_r defined by Brito and Naveira [7] is indeed the total mean curvature of the distribution in our sense.

Theorem 3.1 *If $r = 2s$, S_r is rth mean curvature of the distribution D and Γ_r is defined by (1), then we have*

$$\frac{1}{r!(n-r)!}\Gamma_r \wedge \nu = S_r\Omega.$$

Proof. Using the following expression for the generalized Kronecker symbol

$$\delta^{i_1\ldots i_r}_{j_1\ldots j_r} = \begin{vmatrix} \delta^{i_1}_{j_1} & \cdots & \delta^{i_1}_{j_r} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \delta^{i_r}_{j_1} & \cdots & \delta^{i_r}_{j_r} \end{vmatrix} = \sum_{\tau\in\Sigma_r} \varepsilon(\tau)\delta^{i_1}_{j_{\tau(1)}} \cdots \delta^{i_r}_{j_{\tau(r)}},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_r =& \frac{1}{r!}\delta^{i_1\ldots i_r}_{j_1\ldots j_r} A^{\alpha_1 j_1}_{\ \ i_1} A^{\alpha_1 j_2}_{\ \ i_2} \cdots A^{\alpha_s j_{2s-1}}_{\ \ i_{2s-1}} A^{\alpha_s j_{2s}}_{\ \ i_{2s}} \\ =& \frac{1}{r!}\sum_{\substack{j_1\ldots j_r \\ \text{distinct}}} \delta^{i_1\ldots i_r}_{j_1\ldots j_r} A^{\alpha_1 j_1}_{\ \ i_1} A^{\alpha_1 j_2}_{\ \ i_2} \cdots A^{\alpha_s j_{2s-1}}_{\ \ i_{2s-1}} A^{\alpha_s j_{2s}}_{\ \ i_{2s}} \\ =& \frac{1}{r!}\sum_{\substack{j_1\ldots j_r \\ \text{distinct}}} \sum_{\tau\in\Sigma_r} \varepsilon(\tau)\delta^{i_1}_{j_{\tau(1)}} \cdots \delta^{i_r}_{j_{\tau(r)}} A^{\alpha_1 j_1}_{\ \ i_1} A^{\alpha_1 j_2}_{\ \ i_2} \cdots A^{\alpha_s j_{2s-1}}_{\ \ i_{2s-1}} A^{\alpha_s j_{2s}}_{\ \ i_{2s}} \\ =& \frac{1}{r!}\sum_{\substack{j_1\ldots j_r \\ \text{distinct}}} \sum_{\tau\in\Sigma_r} \varepsilon(\tau) A^{\alpha_1 j_1}_{\ \ j_{\tau(1)}} A^{\alpha_1 j_2}_{\ \ j_{\tau(2)}} \cdots A^{\alpha_s j_{2s-1}}_{\ \ j_{\tau(2s-1)}} A^{\alpha_s j_{2s}}_{\ \ j_{\tau(2s)}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

On the other hand, by the definition of $\omega^{i\alpha}$, we deduce

$$\omega^{i\alpha}(e_j) = \langle e_i, \nabla_{e_j} e_\alpha\rangle = -A^{\alpha i}_{\ \ j},$$

thus

$$\omega^{i\alpha} = -A^{\alpha i}_{\ \ j}\theta^j + X^{i\alpha}_{\beta}\theta^\beta. \tag{3}$$

From (1) and (3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_r \wedge \nu = & \sum_{\sigma\in\Sigma_n} \varepsilon(\sigma)\big(A^{\alpha_1}{}^{\sigma(1)}_{j_1} A^{\alpha_1}{}^{\sigma(2)}_{j_2} \cdots A^{\alpha_s}{}^{\sigma(2s-1)}_{j_{2s-1}} A^{\alpha_s}{}^{\sigma(2s)}_{j_{2s}} \theta^{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta^{j_{2s}}\big)\wedge \\
& \wedge \theta^{\sigma(2s+1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta^{\sigma(2s)} \wedge \nu \\
= & \sum_{\sigma\in\Sigma_n} \varepsilon(\sigma) \sum_{\tau\in\Sigma\{\sigma(1)\ldots\sigma(2s)\}} \Big(\varepsilon(\tau) A^{\alpha_1}{}^{\sigma(1)}_{\tau(\sigma(1))} A^{\alpha_1}{}^{\sigma(2)}_{\tau(\sigma(2))} \cdots \\
& A^{\alpha_s}{}^{\sigma(2s-1)}_{\tau(\sigma(2s-1))} A^{\alpha_s}{}^{\sigma(2s)}_{\tau(\sigma(2s))}\Big) \theta^{\sigma(1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta^{\sigma(n)} \wedge \nu \\
= & \sum_{\sigma\in\Sigma_n} \Big(\sum_{\tau\in\Sigma\{\sigma(1)\ldots\sigma(2s)\}} \varepsilon(\tau) A^{\alpha_1}{}^{\sigma(1)}_{\tau(\sigma(1))} A^{\alpha_1}{}^{\sigma(2)}_{\tau(\sigma(2))} \cdots \\
& A^{\alpha_s}{}^{\sigma(2s-1)}_{\tau(\sigma(2s-1))} A^{\alpha_s}{}^{\sigma(2s)}_{\tau(\sigma(2s))}\Big)\Omega \\
= & (n-2s)! \sum_{\sigma:\{1\ldots 2s\}\to\{1\ldots n\}} \Big(\sum_{\tau\in\Sigma\{\sigma(1)\ldots\sigma(2s)\}} \varepsilon(\tau) A^{\alpha_1}{}^{\sigma(1)}_{\tau(\sigma(1))} A^{\alpha_1}{}^{\sigma(2)}_{\tau(\sigma(2))} \cdots \\
& A^{\alpha_s}{}^{\sigma(2s-1)}_{\tau(\sigma(2s-1))} A^{\alpha_s}{}^{\sigma(2s)}_{\tau(\sigma(2s))}\Big)\Omega \\
= & (n-2s)! \sum_{\substack{j_1\ldots j_{2s}\\ \text{distinct}}} \Big(\sum_{\tau\in\Sigma_{2s}} \varepsilon(\tau) A^{\alpha_1}{}^{j_1}_{j_{\tau(1)}} A^{\alpha_1}{}^{j_2}_{j_{\tau(2)}} \cdots \\
& A^{\alpha_s}{}^{j_{2s-1}}_{j_{\tau(2s-1)}} A^{\alpha_s}{}^{j_{2s}}_{j_{\tau(2s)}}\Big)\Omega.
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing the above with (2) we complete the proof of our theorem. □

Brito and Naveira have also shown that in some special cases one can compute explicitly the total mean curvature S^T_r of the distribution D and it does not depend on D. Indeed, we have the following theorem [7].

Theorem 3.2 *If M is a closed manifold of constant sectional curvature $c \geq 0$ and $F = D^{\perp}$ is a totally geodesic distribution, then*

$$
S^T_{2s} = \begin{cases}
\binom{n/2}{s}\binom{l+2s-1}{2s}\binom{(l+2s-1)/2}{s}^{-1} c^s \operatorname{vol}(M) \\
\quad \textit{if } n \textit{ is even and } l \textit{ is odd,} \\
2^{2s}(s!)^2((2s)!)^{-1}\binom{l/2+s-1}{s}\binom{n/2}{s} c^s \operatorname{vol}(M) \\
\quad \textit{if } n \textit{ and } l \textit{ are even,} \\
0, \quad \textit{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
$$

Remark 3.3 Since the distribution F determines a totally geodesic foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on M, the constant curvature c must be nonnegative; see [16].

The next part of this section will be devoted to the calculaction of the divergence of the mean curvature vector field. Next, we will use this to find a recurrence formula for the total mean curvatures and consequently we will get an alternative proof of Theorem 3.2. In order to do this we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4 *Let $p \in M$ and $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ be a local orthonormal frame field adapted to D and F, such that $(\nabla_X e_i)^\top(p) = 0$ and $(\nabla_X e_\alpha)^\perp(p) = 0$ for any vector field X on M. Then at the point p*

$$\begin{aligned} e_\alpha({A^\beta}^i_j) =& (A^\beta A^\alpha)^i_j - \langle R(e_j, e_\alpha)e_i, e_\beta\rangle \\ &+ \langle (\nabla_{e_\alpha} e_\gamma)^\top, e_j\rangle \langle e_i, (\nabla_{e_\gamma} e_\beta)^\top\rangle - \langle \nabla_{e_j}(\nabla_{e_\alpha} e_\beta)^\top, e_i\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Our proof starts with the observation that at p we have the following equality

$$0 = \langle \nabla_{e_j} \nabla_{e_\alpha} e_\beta, e_i\rangle + \langle e_\beta, \nabla_{e_j} \nabla_{e_\alpha} e_i\rangle.$$

Thus, we have also at p

$$\begin{aligned} & - e_\alpha({A^\beta}^i_j) + (A^\beta A^\alpha)^i_j - \langle R(e_j, e_\alpha)e_i, e_\beta\rangle \\ &= (A^\beta A^\alpha)^i_j - \langle \nabla_{e_j}\nabla_{e_\alpha} e_i, e_\beta\rangle + \langle \nabla_{[e_j, e_\alpha]} e_i, e_\beta\rangle \\ &= {A^\beta}^i_k {A^\alpha}^k_j - \langle \nabla_{e_j}\nabla_{e_\alpha} e_i, e_\beta\rangle + \langle \nabla_{e_j} e_\alpha, e_k\rangle\langle \nabla_{e_k} e_i, e_\beta\rangle - \langle \nabla_{e_\alpha} e_j, e_\gamma\rangle\langle \nabla_{e_\gamma} e_i, e_\beta\rangle \\ &= {A^\beta}^i_k {A^\alpha}^k_j + \langle \nabla_{e_j}\nabla_{e_\alpha} e_\beta, e_i\rangle + \langle \nabla_{e_j} e_\alpha, e_k\rangle\langle \nabla_{e_k} e_i, e_\beta\rangle - \langle \nabla_{e_\alpha} e_j, e_\gamma\rangle\langle \nabla_{e_\gamma} e_i, e_\beta\rangle \\ &= \langle \nabla_{e_j}\nabla_{e_\alpha} e_\beta, e_i\rangle - \langle \nabla_{e_\alpha} e_j, e_\gamma\rangle\langle \nabla_{e_\gamma} e_i, e_\beta\rangle \\ &= \langle \nabla_{e_j}\nabla_{e_\alpha} e_\beta, e_i\rangle - \langle (\nabla_{e_\alpha} e_\gamma)^\top, e_j\rangle\langle (\nabla_{e_\gamma} e_\beta)^\top, e_i\rangle \\ &= \langle \nabla_{e_j}(\nabla_{e_\alpha} e_\beta)^\top, e_i\rangle - \langle (\nabla_{e_\alpha} e_\gamma)^\top, e_j\rangle\langle (\nabla_{e_\gamma} e_\beta)^\top, e_i\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This ends the proof. $\square$

Remark 3.5 Note that, using parallel transport in D and F respectively, we can always construct the frame field from Lemma 3.4.

Now, for even r, we introduce auxiliary notations as follows

$$T_r{}^{i_{r+1} i_{r+2}}_{j_{r+1} j_{r+2}} = \frac{1}{r!}\delta^{i_1 \dots i_{r+2}}_{j_1 \dots j_{r+2}} \langle B^{j_1}_{i_1}, B^{j_2}_{i_2}\rangle \cdots \langle B^{j_{r-1}}_{i_{r-1}}, B^{j_r}_{i_r}\rangle,$$

$$T_r{}^{i_{r+1} i_{r+2} i}_{j_{r+1} j_{r+2} j} = \frac{1}{r!}\delta^{i_1 \dots i_{r+2} i}_{j_1 \dots j_{r+2} j} \langle B^{j_1}_{i_1}, B^{j_2}_{i_2}\rangle \cdots \langle B^{j_{r-1}}_{i_{r-1}}, B^{j_r}_{i_r}\rangle.$$

Lemma 3.6

$$T_r{}^{i_{r+1}i_{r+2}}_{j_{r+1}j_{r+2}} = \delta^{i_{r+2}}_{j_{r+2}} T_r{}^{i_{r+1}}_{j_{r+1}} - \delta^{i_{r+2}}_{j_{r+1}} T_r{}^{i_{r+1}}_{j_{r+2}} - \frac{1}{r-1} T_{r-2}{}^{i_{r-1}i_{r+1}i_r}_{j_{r-1}j_{r+1}j_{r+2}} A^{\alpha j_{r-1}}{}_{i_{r-1}} A^{\alpha i_{r+2}}{}_{i_r}.$$

Proof. The proof is analogous to the one for submanifolds [9]. □

Now, we are ready to find the divergence of $\boldsymbol{S}_{r+1}$.

Theorem 3.7 *Let D be a distribution on a Riemannian manifold M with constant sectional curvature c and S_r ($\boldsymbol{S}_{r+1}$) its rth mean curvature (vector field), for even $r \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$. Assume that F is a totally geodesic distribution(equivalently a totally geodesic foliation) orthogonal to D. Then*

$$\operatorname{div}(\boldsymbol{S}_{r+1}) = -(r+2)S_{r+2} + \frac{c(n-r)(l+r)}{r+1} S_r,$$

where $n = \dim D$, $l = \dim F$.

Proof. Let $\{e_1, \ldots, e_m\}$ be a frame in the neighbourhood of a point p as in Lemma 3.4. By Lemma 2.1, we have at p

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}(\boldsymbol{S}_{r+1}) &= \frac{1}{r+1} \langle \nabla_{e_i}(\operatorname{Tr}(T_r A^\alpha) e_\alpha), e_i \rangle + \frac{1}{r+1} \langle \nabla_{e_\beta}(\operatorname{Tr}(T_r A^\alpha) e_\alpha), e_\beta \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{r+1} \operatorname{Tr}(T_r A^\alpha) \langle \nabla_{e_i} e_\alpha, e_i \rangle + \frac{1}{r+1} e_\alpha(\operatorname{Tr}(T_r A^\alpha)) \\ &= -\frac{1}{r+1} \operatorname{Tr}(T_r A^\alpha) \operatorname{Tr}(A^\alpha) + \frac{1}{r+1} e_\alpha(\operatorname{Tr}(T_r A^\alpha)). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Using the definition of T_r and the symmetries of the generalized Kronecker symbol we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} e_\alpha(\operatorname{Tr}(T_r A^\alpha)) =& e_\alpha(T_r{}^i_j) A^{\alpha j}{}_i + T_r{}^i_j e_\alpha(A^{\alpha j}{}_i) \\ =& \frac{r}{r!} \delta^{i_1 \ldots i_r i}_{j_1 \ldots j_r j} \langle B^{j_1}_{i_1}, B^{j_2}_{i_2} \rangle \cdots \langle B^{j_{r-3}}_{i_{r-3}}, B^{j_{r-2}}_{i_{r-2}} \rangle A^{\beta j_{r-1}}{}_{i_{r-1}} e_\alpha(A^{\beta j_r}{}_{i_r}) A^{\alpha j}{}_i \\ &+ T_r{}^i_j e_\alpha(A^{\alpha j}{}_i) \\ =& \frac{1}{r-1} T_{r-2}{}^{i_{r-1}i_r i}_{j_{r-1}j_r j} A^{\beta j_{r-1}}{}_{i_{r-1}} e_\alpha(A^{\beta j_r}{}_{i_r}) A^{\alpha j}{}_i + T_r{}^i_j e_\alpha(A^{\alpha j}{}_i). \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Now let us compute the terms on the right hand side of (5) one by one. From Lemma 3.4, under our assumption $(\nabla_{e_\alpha} e_\beta)^\top = 0$, we obtain

$$e_\alpha(A^{\beta j_r}{}_{i_r}) = (A^\beta A^\alpha)^{j_r}_{i_r} + c\delta^\beta_\alpha \delta^{j_r}_{i_r}. \tag{6}$$

Using Lemma 2.1, Lemma 3.6 and (6), we see that the first term on the right hand side of (5) is of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{r-1}{T_{r-2}}^{i_{r-1}i_ri}_{j_{r-1}j_rj}A^{\beta j_{r-1}}{}_{i_{r-1}}e_\alpha(A^{\beta j_r}{}_{i_r})A^{\alpha j}{}_{i}\\
&=\frac{1}{r-1}{T_{r-2}}^{i_{r-1}i_ri}_{j_{r-1}j_rj}A^{\beta j_{r-1}}{}_{i_{r-1}}A^{\alpha j}{}_{i}A^{\beta j_r}{}_{k}A^{\alpha k}{}_{i_r}+\frac{c}{r-1}{T_{r-2}}^{i_{r-1}i_ri}_{j_{r-1}j_rj}A^{\beta j_{r-1}}{}_{i_{r-1}}A^{\alpha j}{}_{i}\delta^\beta_\alpha\delta^{j_r}_{i_r}\\
&=\frac{1}{r-1}{T_{r-2}}^{i_{r-1}i_ri}_{j_{r-1}j_rj}A^{\alpha j_r}{}_{i_r}A^{\alpha k}{}_{i}A^{\beta j_{r-1}}{}_{i_{r-1}}A^{\beta j}{}_{k}+\frac{c}{r-1}{T_{r-2}}^{i_{r-1}ii_r}_{j_{r-1}ji_r}A^{\alpha j_{r-1}}{}_{i_{r-1}}A^{\alpha j}{}_{i}\\
&=\frac{1}{r-1}{T_{r-2}}^{i_ri_{r-1}i}_{j_rj_{r-1}j}A^{\alpha j_r}{}_{i_r}A^{\alpha k}{}_{i}A^{\beta j_{r-1}}{}_{i_{r-1}}A^{\beta j}{}_{k}+cr\operatorname{Tr}(T_r)\\
&=\left(-{T_r}^{i_{r-1}k}_{j_{r-1}j}+\delta^k_j{T_r}^{i_{r-1}}_{j_{r-1}}-\delta^k_{j_{r-1}}{T_r}^{i_{r-1}}_{j}\right)A^{\beta j_{r-1}}{}_{i_{r-1}}A^{\beta j}{}_{k}+cr(n-r)S_r\\
&=-{T_r}^{i_{r+1}k}_{j_{r+1}j}A^{\beta j_{r+1}}{}_{i_{r+1}}A^{\beta j}{}_{k}+\operatorname{Tr}(T_rA^\beta)\operatorname{Tr}(A^\beta)-\operatorname{Tr}(T_rA^\beta A^\beta)+cr(n-r)S_r\\
&=-(r+1)(r+2)S_{r+2}+\operatorname{Tr}(T_rA^\beta)\operatorname{Tr}(A^\beta)-\operatorname{Tr}(T_rA^\beta A^\beta)+cr(n-r)S_r.
\end{aligned}$$

By the use of (6) and Lemma 2.1, we see that the second term on the right hand side of (5) is of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
{T_r}^i_je_\alpha(A^{\alpha j}{}_i)=&{T_r}^i_j(A^\alpha A^\alpha)^j_i+cl{T_r}^i_i=\operatorname{Tr}(T_rA^\alpha A^\alpha)+cl\operatorname{Tr}(T_r)\\
=&\operatorname{Tr}(T_rA^\alpha A^\alpha)+cl(n-r)S_r.
\end{aligned}\tag{7}$$

Hence (5) is of the form

$$e_\alpha(\operatorname{Tr}(T_rA^\alpha))=-(r+1)(r+2)S_{r+2}+\operatorname{Tr}(T_rA^\alpha)\operatorname{Tr}(A^\alpha)+c(r+l)(n-r)S_r.\tag{8}$$

Inserting (8) into (4) we complete the proof of theorem. ☐

Corollary 3.8 *Let D be a distribution on a closed Riemannian manifold M with constant sectional curvature $c \geq 0$ and (S_r^T) S_r its (total) rth mean curvature. Let us assume that F is a totally geodesic distribution orthogonal to D. Then*

$$\int_M S_{r+2}=\int_M\frac{c(n-r)(l+r)}{(r+1)(r+2)}S_r,$$

equivalently

$$S^T_{r+2}=\frac{c(n-r)(l+r)}{(r+1)(r+2)}S^T_r.\tag{9}$$

Finally, note that, we can use Corollary 3.8 to prove Theorem 3.2.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. For even n using (9) and induction one gets S_r^T as in Theorem 3.2. When n is odd, then c must be zero, because there is no totally geodesic foliation on a closed Riemannian manifold of constant positive curvature. Indeed, without loss of generality, we may assume that $M = S^m$. For the existence of foliations the sphere should have odd dimension. Since n is odd, the foliation should be even dimensional and should not contain any compact spherical leaf. Otherwise, we might pull back the Euler class of the foliation to this spherical even dimensional leaf, proving that it has Euler number zero. On the other hand, totally geodesic foliations on round spheres should have spheres as leaves - contradiction. Consequently $c = 0$ and using again (9), we complete the proof of Theorem 3.2. $\square$

For $r = 0$ there are known applications of Theorem 3.7 in different areas of differential geometry, analysis and mathematical physics; see - for example [5, 8, 14]. The reader is warmly invited to find them for other r.

Acknowledgement
We are grateful to Fabiano Brito for helpful e-mail discussion.

References

[1] Andrzejewski, K., Walczak, P.G.: The Newton transformation and new integral formulae for foliated manifolds. To appear in Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom.

[2] Alías, L.J., de Lira, S., Malacarne, J.M.: Constant higher-order mean curvature hypersurfaces in Riemannian spaces. Journal of the Inst. of Math. Jussieu **5**(4), 527–562 (2006)

[3] Asimow, D.: Average gaussian curvature of leaves of foliations. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **84**, 131-133 (1978)

[4] Barbosa, J.L.M., Colares, A.G.: Stability of hypersurfaces with constant r-mean curvature. Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **15**, 277–297 (1997)

[5] Brinzanescu, V., Slobodeanu, R.: Holomorphicity and Walczak formula on Sasakian manifolds. J. Geom. Phys. **57**, 193-207 (2006)

[6] Brito, F., Langevin, R., Rosenberg, H.: Intégrales de courbure sur des variétés feuilletées. J. Diff. Geom. **16**, 19–50 (1981)

[7] Brito, F., Naveira, A.M.: Total extrinsic curvature of certain distributions on closed spaces of constant curvature. Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **18**, 371–383 (2000)

[8] Brito, F., Walczak, P.G.: On the energy of unit vector fields with isolated singularities. Ann. Polon. Math. **73**, 269-274 (2000)

[9] Cao, L., Li, H.: r-Minimal submanifolds in space forms. Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **32**, 311–341 (2007)

[10] Grosjean, J.F.: Upper bounds for the first eigenvalue of the laplacian on compact submanifolds. Pacific J. Math. **206**, 93-11 (2002)

[11] Ranjan, A.: Structural equations and an integral formula for foliated manifolds. Geom. Dedicata **20**, 85–91 (1986)

[12] Rosenberg, H.: Hypersurfaces of constant curvature in space forms. Bull. Sci. Math. **117**, 211–239 (1993),

[13] Rovenski, V., Walczak, P.: Integral formulae for foliations on Riemannian manifolds. In: Diff. Geom. and Appl., Proc. of Conf., Olomouc 2007, pp. 203 - 214. World Scientific, Singapore (2008)

[14] Svensson, M.: Holomorphic foliations, harmonic morphisms and the Walczak formula. J. London Math. Soc. **68**, 781-794 (2003)

[15] Walczak, P.: An integral formula for a Riemannian manifold with two orthogonal complementary distributions. Colloq. Math. **58**, 243–252 (1990)

[16] Zeghib, A.: Feuilletages géodésiques des variétés localement symétriques. Topology **36** (4), 805-828 (1997)

Krzysztof Andrzejewski (corresponding author)
Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences
ul. Śniadeckich 8, 00-956 Warszawa, Poland
and
Department of Theoretical Physics II, University of Łódź
ul. Pomorska 149/153, 90 - 236 Łódź, Poland.
e-mail: k-andrzejewski@uni.lodz.pl

Paweł G. Walczak
Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences
ul. Śniadeckich 8, 00-956 Warszawa, Poland
and
Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, University of Łódź
ul. Banacha 22, 90-238 Łódź, Poland
e-mail: pawelwal@math.uni.lodz.pl